


CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION BY FACSIMILE (37 CFR 1.8)			Docket No. APP 1412
Applicant(s): Prathima Agrawal et al			
Application No. 10/016,238	Filing Date 12/10/2001	Examiner HARPER, Kevin C.	Group Art Unit 2666
Invention: Frequency Hop Collision Prediction in a Multi-Channel, Bluetooth-Enabled Packet Transmission System			
RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER DEC 27 2005			
I hereby certify that this <u>Reply to Office Action of December 14, 2005</u> (Identify type of correspondence)			
is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (Fax. No. <u>571-273-8300</u>)			
on <u>December 27, 2005</u> (Date)			
Vivian Austin (Typed or Printed Name of Person Signing Certificate)  (Signature)			
Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of mailing.			

Appl. No. 10/016,238
Response Dated December 27, 2005
Reply to Office action of December 14, 2005

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
DEC 27 2005

APP 1412

Appl. No. : 10/016,238 Confirmation No. 4496
Applicants : P. Agrawal et al
Filed : December 10, 2001
TC/A.U. : 2666
Examiner : Kevin C. Harper
Docket No. : APP 1412 US
Customer No. : 09941

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE

In the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment, mailed Dec. 14, 2005, applicants were advised that the sheets of drawing submitted with applicants' Amendment of December 7, 2005, were "not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet." As applicants' attorney has pointed out to the Examiner, the pages submitted with that Amendment were, in fact, so identified. However, the Examiner advised applicants' attorney that the two typed lines in the upper left hand corner of the replacement sheets for Figs. 1 and 2 were placed so high on the page that they did not legibly appear on the faxed copies received by the Examiner, although these lines on the faxed replacement sheet for Fig. 7 were legible.

Applicants appreciate the Examiner's suggestion that these two pages be resubmitted with these lines placed further down on each sheet. Accordingly, applicants have attached hereto new replaced sheets for Figs. 1 and 2 with the identification of the Serial No. and the statement "replacement sheet" being, as suggested by the Examiner, further down on each page.

With these new replacement sheets, it is believed that applicants' prior Amendment is now compliant. Entrance of the Amendment, allowance of new claims 25 and 26, and passage of this application to issue are accordingly respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Prathima Agrawal et al

By: 

James W. Falk
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 16,154
(732) 699-4465

Enclosed:
Replacement Sheets for Figs. 1 and 2